WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP

MECHAEL S. SCHACHTER 212 728 8102 mschochter@willlie.com

787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019-6099 Tel: 212 728 8000 Fax: 212 728 8111

April 18, 2019

BY HAND AND ECF

The Honorable William F. Kuntz United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201

Re: United States v. Jean Boustani, et al. (Case No. 18-cr-681 (WFK))

Dear Judge Kuntz:

We write regarding the scheduling of the trial of this matter, currently set for October 7, 2019. At our status conference on March 28, 2019, I advised the Court of my participation in two criminal trials that have already been scheduled and that would conflict with an October 7, 2019 trial of this matter. Without consulting my calendar, I have to apologize that I incorrectly recalled the specific trial dates, but they both would conflict with the commencement of the trial of this matter on October 7.

I am scheduled for trial before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer of the Southern District of New York in *United States v. Jones, et al.*, 18 Cr. 834 (PAE) (S.D.N.Y.), to commence on September 9, 2019. There are currently five defendants in that criminal matter, and the Government estimates that trial will take three to four weeks. Assuming a one-week defense case, we may not have a verdict before October 18, 2019. I then am scheduled to begin a criminal trial on October 21, 2019, before the Honorable John G. Koeltl of the Southern District of New York in *United States v. Aiyer*, 18 Cr. 333 (JGK) (S.D.N.Y.). This is a one-defendant case, estimated by the parties to last three weeks.

Your Honor suggested that the parties consult and, if a consensual request for a different trial date is agreed upon, submit that request on ECF for the Court's consideration. In light of our client's detention, we requested that the Government agree to start trial in June or, alternatively, on any date in July or August. Although the Government has consistently represented that it is ready for trial, the Government refused to join a joint request to commence trial in June, July, or August, although the Government did offer that it would be willing to start on September 3, 2019. We appreciate the Government's willingness to consider that earlier date, but it would still conflict with the trial set by Judge Engelmayer in *United States v. Jones*, and we believe that particularly in light of Mr. Boustani's detention a September trial of this matter would violate our client's Sixth Amendment right to a speedy trial. *See United States v. Jackson*, 823 F.2d 4, 8 (2d Cir. 1987) ("When the government moves for

pretrial detention it has an obligation to arrange for the trial as quickly as possible, using extraordinary means if necessary.") (internal citations omitted) (emphasis added); see also United States v. Salerno, 794 F.2d 64, 79 n.2 (2d Cir. 1986) ("Pretrial detention under any circumstances is an extraordinary remedy with serious due process implications. If the government seeks the benefit of that remedy it must exert itself to accelerate the date for a trial. Quite often, reliance on the usual processes of trial administration will not be enough. If this is so, the government must take whatever special steps are needed to move the trial date forward.")

We therefore request that Your Honor set this matter for trial in June or, in the alternative, July or August. In the event that request is unacceptable to the Court, we respectfully request leave to write a letter to this Court, as well as Judge Engelmayer and Judge Koeltl, advising the Courts of the conflicting schedules so the Courts can direct us on how to proceed.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael S. Schachter Randall W. Jackson

cc: (by email)

AUSA Matthew S. Amatruda AUSA Mark E. Bini